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In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, all non- H atoms are approximately co-planar with an r.m.s. deviation of $0.016 \AA$. In the crystal, molecules are linked into inversion dimers by pairs of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds. Chains along [010] are buiilt up by $\pi-\pi$ interactions [centroid-centroid distance $=3.602(1) \AA$ ] between the benzene and piperazine rings of adjacent molecules.

## Related literature

For the synthesis and background to the title compound, see Feng et al. (2010).


## Experimental

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=190.20$

Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=8.3604$ (17) A
$b=4.8599$ (10) $\AA$
$c=22.288$ (5) $\AA$
$\beta=92.09$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=905.0(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.29 \times 0.23 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.972, T_{\text {max }}=0.981$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.043$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.143$
$S=1.10$
2085 reflections
133 parameters

8373 measured reflections 2085 independent reflections 1497 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.030$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.22 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.18 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.888(19)$ | $2.011(19)$ | $2.8931(17)$ | $171.8(17)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+2,-z+1$.
Data collection: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: RAPID-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO: 20272011) and Harbin University of Science and Technology for supporting this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG5192).
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## 3,8-Dimethylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione

W.-Y. Qin, B. Liu, C.-W. Duan and Q.-X. Yin

## Comment

The title compound is the intermediate of a kind of highly potent and selective insecticide (Feng et al., 2010). Herein, we report the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound.

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, all non-hydrogen atoms lie on the same plane with the Rms about $0.016 \AA$, the largest deviation being 0.037 (1) Å for atom O2 (Figure 1).

The isolated title compound molecules are linked by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds into dimers (Figure 2, Table 1). Furthermore, the chain structures alone [010] direction are bulit up by /pi-/pi interatcions (center to center distances of 3.602 (1) $\AA$ ) between the phenyl groups and piperazinyl groups of the adjacent molecules (Figure 3).

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized as the reference method (Feng et al., 2010): To a solution of 2-amino-N,3-dimethylbenzamide ( $1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 ml ), bis(trichloromethyl)-carbonate ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and then keep stirring for 2 h . After that THF was removed and water ( 20 ml ) was added slowly. The resulting suspension was filtered, and the solids were washed with water $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and dried (yield $65 \%$ ). The crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation from methanol solution at room temperature for several days.

## Refinement

H atoms bound to C atoms were placed in calculated positions and treated as riding on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93$ $\AA$ (aromatic); $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$ (methyl), and with $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$, while N -bound H atom was found from Fourier-map and was freely refined.

Figures


Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound, showing displacement ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level for non-H atoms.

## supplementary materials



Fig. 2. A dimer structure showing the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, no involving H atoms have been omitted for clarity.


Fig. 3. A partial packing view, showing chain structure forming by $\pi-\pi$ interactions along [010] direction, no involving H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

## 3,8-Dimethylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=190.20$
Monoclinic, $P 2{ }_{1} / c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
$a=8.3604$ (17) $\AA$
$b=4.8599(10) \AA$
$c=22.288(5) \AA$
$\beta=92.09(3)^{\circ}$
$V=905.0(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$F(000)=400$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.396 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 6086 reflections
$\theta=3.0-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, colorless
$0.29 \times 0.23 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite
$\omega$ scan
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.972, T_{\text {max }}=0.981$
8373 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.043$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.143$
$S=1.10$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0833 P)^{2}+0.0221 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$

## 2085 reflections

133 parameters
0 restraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.22 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.18 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving 1.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$, conventional R-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>2 \operatorname{sigma}\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{*} U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | $0.36317(16)$ | $0.6713(2)$ | $0.37609(6)$ | $0.0319(3)$ |
| C2 | $0.47592(17)$ | $0.4802(3)$ | $0.35641(6)$ | $0.0378(3)$ |
| C3 | $0.43348(19)$ | $0.3256(3)$ | $0.30632(7)$ | $0.0436(4)$ |
| H3 | 0.5058 | 0.1965 | 0.2927 | $0.052^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.2866(2)$ | $0.3552(3)$ | $0.27525(7)$ | $0.0459(4)$ |
| H4 | 0.2625 | 0.2481 | 0.2415 | $0.055^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.17879(18)$ | $0.5421(3)$ | $0.29463(6)$ | $0.0420(4)$ |
| H5 | 0.0807 | 0.5633 | 0.2741 | $0.050^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.21521(16)$ | $0.7020(3)$ | $0.34530(6)$ | $0.0343(3)$ |
| C7 | $0.09745(16)$ | $0.8962(3)$ | $0.36722(6)$ | $0.0371(3)$ |
| C8 | $0.29243(17)$ | $1.0222(3)$ | $0.44833(6)$ | $0.0359(3)$ |
| C9 | $0.03023(19)$ | $1.2458(4)$ | $0.44098(7)$ | $0.0499(4)$ |
| H9A | 0.0786 | 1.3429 | 0.4745 | $0.075^{*}$ |
| H9B | -0.0632 | 1.1502 | 0.4536 | $0.075^{*}$ |
| H9C | 0.0002 | 1.3743 | 0.4099 | $0.075^{*}$ |
| C10 | $0.63640(19)$ | $0.4454(4)$ | $0.38882(8)$ | $0.0536(4)$ |
| H10A | 0.6956 | 0.3027 | 0.3698 | $0.080^{*}$ |
| H10B | 0.6206 | 0.3963 | 0.4299 | $0.080^{*}$ |
| H10C | 0.6950 | 0.6150 | 0.3874 | $0.080^{*}$ |
| N1 | $0.14501(13)$ | $1.0469(2)$ | $0.41799(5)$ | $0.0374(3)$ |
| H1 | $0.486(2)$ | $0.829(3)$ | $0.4482(8)$ | $0.056(5)^{*}$ |
| N2 | $0.39514(14)$ | $0.8334(2)$ | $0.42650(5)$ | $0.0368(3)$ |
| O1 | $-0.03642(13)$ | $0.9286(3)$ | $0.34450(5)$ | $0.0551(4)$ |
| O2 | $0.32575(13)$ | $1.1631(2)$ | $0.49285(5)$ | $0.0510(3)$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(A^{2}\right)$
$U^{11} \quad U^{22}$
C1 $0.0322(7) \quad 0.0340$ (6)
$U^{33}$
$0.0292(6)$
$U^{12} \quad U^{13}$
$U^{23}$
,
-0.0020 (5)
-0.0025 (5)
0.0018 (5)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2 | $0.0353(7)$ | $0.0401(7)$ | $0.0378(7)$ | $0.0022(6)$ | $0.0001(6)$ | $0.0014(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.0483(9)$ | $0.0425(8)$ | $0.0404(8)$ | $0.0021(7)$ | $0.0063(6)$ | $-0.0053(6)$ |
| C4 | $0.0548(9)$ | $0.0472(8)$ | $0.0354(7)$ | $-0.0065(7)$ | $-0.0019(7)$ | $-0.0077(6)$ |
| C5 | $0.0393(8)$ | $0.0504(8)$ | $0.0355(7)$ | $-0.0073(7)$ | $-0.0088(6)$ | $0.0019(6)$ |
| C6 | $0.0329(7)$ | $0.0372(7)$ | $0.0324(7)$ | $-0.0013(6)$ | $-0.0040(5)$ | $0.0042(5)$ |
| C7 | $0.0321(7)$ | $0.0425(7)$ | $0.0361(7)$ | $-0.0007(6)$ | $-0.0069(5)$ | $0.0052(6)$ |
| C8 | $0.0321(7)$ | $0.0410(7)$ | $0.0343(7)$ | $0.0042(6)$ | $-0.0046(5)$ | $-0.0005(6)$ |
| C9 | $0.0401(8)$ | $0.0572(9)$ | $0.0522(9)$ | $0.0160(7)$ | $0.0007(7)$ | $-0.0022(8)$ |
| C10 | $0.0378(8)$ | $0.0624(10)$ | $0.0599(10)$ | $0.0144(8)$ | $-0.0063(7)$ | $-0.0102(8)$ |
| N1 | $0.0291(6)$ | $0.0434(6)$ | $0.0393(6)$ | $0.0069(5)$ | $-0.0045(5)$ | $0.0007(5)$ |
| N2 | $0.0306(6)$ | $0.0436(6)$ | $0.0356(6)$ | $0.0068(5)$ | $-0.0081(5)$ | $-0.0050(5)$ |
| O1 | $0.0362(6)$ | $0.0710(8)$ | $0.0566(7)$ | $0.0086(5)$ | $-0.0182(5)$ | $-0.0021(6)$ |
| O2 | $0.0438(6)$ | $0.0614(7)$ | $0.0467(6)$ | $0.0140(5)$ | $-0.0139(5)$ | $-0.0198(5)$ |

## Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.3901(17)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.4005(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.4049(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.381(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.5099(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.395(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.361(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.395(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.461(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.2217(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $118.44(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $121.04(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $120.52(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $117.16(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $121.54(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $121.29(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $122.73(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 118.6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 118.6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $119.49(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $120.07(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $120.02(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $120.04(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $119.92(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $119.92(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $124.26(13)$ |
|  |  |

## sup-4

## supplementary materials

N1-C7-C6
115.81 (11)
C1—N2—H1
124.1 (11)

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.888(19)$ | $2.011(19)$ | $2.8931(17)$ | $171.8(17)$ |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+2,-z+1$. |  |  |  |  |
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Fig. 3


